





CASELAW ALERT SERVICE (2024)

**SUPREME COURT
OF INDIA
LANDMARK
JUDGMENTS 2024**



Compiled by : Dr. Priya Rai, University Librarian
Dr. Samar Iqbal Bakhshi, Senior Assistant Librarian




**Justice T.P.S. Chawla Library
National Law University Delhi**





S. No.	Date of Judgment	Cause Title/Case No.	Subject	Judgment Summary
1.	03-01-2024	Vishal Tiwari Vs Union of India W.P.(C) No. 162/2023	Plea challenging SEBI's investigation into the Adani Group and seeking constitution of Special Investigation Team (SIT).	Vishal Tiwari Union of India 2024 INSC 3 (3 January 2024) Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y Chandrachud, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra Question(s): (i) What is the scope of judicial review over the regulatory functions of the Securities and Exchange Board of India ("SEBI")? (ii) Whether the Supreme Court should transfer the investigation into the Adani Group from SEBI to a Special Investigation Team ("SIT")... Read More View Judgment  Full Judgment
2.	08-01-2024	Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs Union Of India W.P.(CrI.) No. 491/2022	Challenge to the remission orders passed by the State of Gujarat releasing individuals convicted of gang rape during communal rights.	Bilkis Yakub Rasool V- Union of India 2024 INSC 24 (8 January 2024) Justice B.V. Nagarathna and Justice Ujjal Bhuyan Question(s): (i) Whether a Writ Petition filed by the victim against the remission of convicts is maintainable under Article 32 of the Constitution? (ii) Whether public interest litigation ("PIL") petitions can be filed against orders of remission of prisoners by the government? (iii) Whether the State of Gujarat was competent to pass the disputed orders of remission? and (iv) Whether the disputed orders of remission passed by the State of... Read More View Judgment  Full Judgment
3.	15-02-2024	Association For Democratic Reforms Vs Union of India W.P.(C) No. 880/2017	Challenge to electoral bonds scheme.	Association For Democratic Reforms V. Union of India 2024 INSC 113 (15 February 2024) Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y Chandrachud, Justice Sanjiv Khanna, Justice Bhushan R Gavai, Justice Jamshed B- Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra Question(s): (i) Whether the non-disclosure of funding to political parties under the Electoral Bond Scheme violates voters' right to information? (ii) Whether unlimited corporate funding to political parties interferes with free and fair elections and equality? Read More View Judgment  Full Judgment
4.	20-02-2024	KULDEEP KUMAR vs U.T. CHANDIGARH C.A. No. 2874/2024	Challenge to the mayoral elections of the Chandigarh Municipal Corporation.	KULDEEP KUMAR V. U.T. CHANDIGARH 2024 INSC 129 (20 February 2024) Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala and Justice Manoj Misra Question(s): Whether there was electoral malpractice in the conduct of elections for the post of Mayor to the Chandigarh Municipal Corporation on 30 January 2024? View Judgment  Full Judgment
5.	29-02-2024	HIGH COURT BAR ASSOCIATION ALLAHABAD vs THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH CrI.A. No. 3589/2023	Whether interim orders passed by High Courts automatically expire after six months.	HIGH COURT BAR ASSOCIATION ALLAHABAD V. THE STATE OF UP 2024 INSC 150 (29 February 2024) Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Abhay S. Oka, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Pankaj Mithal, Justice Manoj Misra Question(s): (i) Can the Supreme Court of India order that all interim orders of the High Courts staying proceedings will

				<p>automatically expire after a certain period? (ii) Can the Supreme Court of India direct High Courts to decide pending cases within a fixed timeframe?</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
6.	04-03-2024	Sita Soren Vs Union Of India CRL.A. No. 451/2019	Whether a legislator enjoys constitutional immunity from accusations of accepting bribes in connection with their vote in parliament or a state legislature.	<p>SITA SOREN V. UNION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 161 (4 March 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Ajjikuttira S. Bopanna, Justice M. M. Sundresh, Justice Pamidighantam S. Narasimha, Justice Jamshe B. Pardiwala, Justice Sanjay Kumar, Justice Manoj Misra</p> <p>Question(s): Does a legislator enjoy immunity from prosecution under Article 105(2) or Article 194(2) of the Constitution of India for accepting bribes to vote in Parliament or a State Legislative Assembly?</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
7.	07-03-2024	Javed Ahmad Hajam Vs The State Of Maharashtra CRL.A. No. 886/2024	Quashing of criminal proceedings against individual for whatsapp status critical of government policy.	<p>JAVED AHMAD HAJAM V. THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA 2024 INSC 187 (7 March 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Justice Abhay S. Oka and Justice Ujjal Bhuyan</p> <p>Question(s): Whether the criminal proceedings initiated against the Appellant for his WhatsApp status criticising the abrogation of Article 370 of the Constitution and wishing Happy Independence Day to Pakistan should be extinguished.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
8.	11-03-2024	Devu G. Nair Vs The State Of Kerala CRL.A. No. 1730/2024	Habeas corpus petition to secure release of relationship-partner from parents.	<p>DEVU G. NAIR V. THE STATE OF KERALA 2024 INSC 228 (11 March 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Jamshe B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra</p> <p>Question(s): Whether the Appellant's habeas corpus petition to secure the release of her relationship-partner should be allowed?</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
9.	18-03-2024	Navas @ Mulanavas Vs State Of Kerala CRL.A. No. 1215/2011	Suitable term of imprisonment for murder.	<p>NAVAS @ MULANAVAS V. STATE OF KERALA 2024 INSC 215 (18 March 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Justice Bhushan R. Gavai, Justice Kalpathy V. Viswanathan, Justice Sandeep Mehta</p> <p>Question(s): What is the suitable term of imprisonment that should be imposed for the offence of murder?</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
10.	21-03-2024	Noble M Paikada Vs Union Of India C.A. No. 1628-1629/2021	Challenge to exemptions from environmental clearances for roads and pipelines.	<p>NOBLE M PAIKADA V. UNION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 241 (21 March 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Justice Abhay S. Oka and Justice Sanjay Karol</p> <p>Question(s): Whether Item 6 in the notification dated 28 March 2020, which granted a complete exemption from needing prior Environmental Clearance to unearth soil for creating roads, pipelines etc., was arbitrary and unconstitutional?</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>





11.	21-03-2024	M.K. Ranjitsinh Vs Union Of India W.P.(C) No. 838/2019	Steps needed to protect the great indian bustard from potential extinction.	M.K. RANJITSINH V. UNION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 280 (21 March 2024) Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra Question(s): (i) What steps should be taken to protect the Great Indian Bustard?(ii) Whether the order of the Supreme Court restricting overhead transmission lines over 99,000 sq km needs reconsideration.(iii) Whether a committee of experts is required for monitoring and preparing data to ensure protection for the declining Great Indian Bustard population. View Judgment  Full Judgment
12.	26-04-2024	Association For Democratic Reforms Vs Election Commission Of India W.P.(C) No. 434/2023	Challenge to the integrity of electronic voting machines (evms).	ASSOCIATION FOR DEMOCRATIC REFORMS V. ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 341 (26 April 2024) Justices: Justice Sanjiv Khanna and Justice Dipankar Datta Question(s): (i) Whether the current use of Electronic Voting Machines (“EVMs”) ensures the integrity and security of elections. (ii) Whether there is a need for 100% vote-verification through Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail (“VVPAT”) to cross-check votes cast through EVMs. View Judgment  Full Judgment
13.	01-05-2024	Sharif Ahmad Vs The State Of Uttar Pradesh Home Department Secretary CRL.A. No. 2357/2024	When is a chargesheet complete under the code of criminal procedure, 1973.	SHARIF AHMAD V. THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH HOME DEPARTMENT SECRETARY 2024 INSC 363 (1 May 2024) Justices: Justice Sanjiv Khanna and Justice Sarasa V. Bhatti Question(s): When can a chargesheet be said to be complete so that the Court can take cognizance of the offence under the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (“CrPC”)? View Judgment  Full Judgment
14.	10-05-2024	Arvind Kejriwal Vs Directorate Of Enforcement CRL.A. No. 2493/2024	Interim bail for the chief minister of delhi.	ARVIND KEJRIWAL V. DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT 2024 INSC 400 (10 May 2024) Justices: Justice Sanjiv Khanna and Justice Dipankar Datta Question(s): Whether interim bail should be granted to Mr. Arvind Kejriwal? View Judgment  Full Judgment
15.	15-05-2024	Prabir Purkayastha Vs State (Nct Of Delhi) CRL.A. No. 2577/2024	Challenge to arrest under unlawful activities (prevention) act, 1967 and need to inform accused of grounds of arrest in writing.	PRABIR PURKAYASTHA V. STATE (NCT OF DELHI) 2024 INSC 414 (15 May 2024) Justices: Justice Bhushan R. Gavai, Justice Sandeep Mehta Question(s): (i) Whether a person arrested under the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (“UAPA”) is required to be provided with the grounds of their arrest in writing. (ii) Whether the Appellant’s remand into police custody was legal. View Judgment  Full Judgment

16.	08-07-2024	Frank Vitus Vs Narcotics Control Bureau CRL.A. No. 2814-2815/2024	Whether an accused can be required to share their location on google maps as a bail condition.	FRANK VITUS V. NARCOTICS CONTROL BUREAU 2024 INSC 479 (8 July 2024) Justices: Justice Abhay S. Oka and Justice Ujjal Bhuyan Question(s): (i) Whether requiring an accused to share their location on Google Maps with the Investigating Officer, as a condition for granting bail, violates the right to privacy of the accused. (ii) Whether requiring an accused who is a foreign national to obtain a Certificate of Assurance from their High Commission/Embassy ensuring their presence in India and appearance in court can be imposed as a condition for bail.  View Judgment Full Judgment
17.	11-07-2024	Md. Rahim Ali @ Abdur Rahim Vs The State Of Assam C.A. No. 7332/2024	Standard for initiating proceedings doubting an individual's nationality under the foreigners act, 1946.	MD. RAHIM ALI @ ABDUR RAHIM V. THE STATE OF ASSAM 2024 INSC 511 (11 July 2024) Justices: Justice Vikram Nath, Justice Ahsanuddin Amanullah Question(s): What is the threshold for doubting a person's nationality under the Foreigners Act, 1946 ("Foreigners Act")?  View Judgment Full Judgment
18.	12-07-2024	Arvind Kejriwal Vs Directorate Of Enforcement CRL.A. No. 2493/2024	Challenging the validity of the arrest of chief minister of delhi	ARVIND KEJRIWAL V. DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT 2024 INSC 512 (12 July 2024) Justices: Justice Sanjiv Khanna and Justice Dipankar Datta Question(s): (i) What legal requirements have to be satisfied for an arrest to be lawful under Section 19 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 ("PMLA")? (ii) Whether the Directorate of Enforcement's ("ED") arrest of Mr. Arvind Kejriwal complied with Section 19 of the PMLA. View Judgment  Full Judgment
19.	23-07-2024	Gene Campaign. Vs. Union Of India W.P.(C) No. 115/2004	Challenge against the approval of hybrid transgenic mustard dmh-11 for environmental release.	GENE CAMPAIGN. V. UNION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 545 (23 July 2024) Justices: Justice B. V. Nagarathna and Justice Sanjay Karol Question(s): (i) Whether the decision of the Union Government dated 25 October 2022 granting conditional approval for the environmental release of genetically modified Dhara Mustard Hybrid-11 ("DMH-11") is in accordance with law. (ii) What specific guidelines should the Supreme Court lay down to ensure the safety of the approval process of hybrid transgenic mustard and other genetically modified ("GM") crops in the future? View Judgment  Full Judgment
20.	25-07-2024	Mineral Area Development Authority Etc. Vs M/S Steel Authority Of India C.A. No. 4056-4064/1999	Whether states have the power to tax mineral rights and mine bearing lands and whether royalty on mining leases is a tax.	MINERAL AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ETC. V. M/S STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA . 2024 INSC 554 (25 July 2024) Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Hrishikesh Roy, Justice Abhay S. Oka, Justice B. V. Nagarathna, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra, Justice Ujjal Bhuyan, Justice Satish C. Sharma, Justice Augustine G. Masih Question(s): (i) What is the scope of Entry 50 of the State List of the Seventh Schedule (the power of states to tax mineral

				<p>rights subject to Parliamentary legislation)? (ii) Under Entry 54 of the Union List, what limitations can Parliament impose on the power of States to tax mining rights and mineral bearing lands? (iii) Whether charging royalty on mining leases is a form of tax. (iv) Whether the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 (“MMDR Act”) limits the states’ power to tax mining rights and mineral bearing lands. (iv) Whether a state’s power to tax land under Entry 49 includes the power to tax mineral bearing land, and if yes, whether mineral produce could be used as a measure of tax.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
21.	30-07-2024	<p>Gaurav Kumar Vs Union Of India</p> <p>W.P.(C) No. 352/2023</p>	<p>Whether state bar councils can charge a higher enrollment fees than set out in the advocates act.</p>	<p>GAURAV KUMAR V. UNION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 558 (30 July 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice Dr. Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud and Justice Jamshe B. Pardiwala</p> <p>Question(s): (i) Whether the State Bar Councils (“SBCs”) are entitled to charge enrolment fees beyond the fee prescribed by Section 24(1)(f) of the Advocates Act, 1961, when admitting law graduates to the State rolls. (ii) Whether payment of other miscellaneous fees can be made a precondition for enrolment.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
22.	01-08-2024	<p>The State Of Punjab Vs Davinder Singh</p> <p>C.A. No. 2317/2011</p>	<p>States' power to sub-classify scheduled castes for providing reservation</p>	<p>THE STATE OF PUNJAB V. DAVINDER SINGH 2024 INSC 562 (1 August 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Bhushan R. Gavai, Justice Vikram Nath, Justice Bela M. Trivedi, Justice Pankaj Mithal, Justice Manoj Misra, Justice Satish C. Sharma</p> <p>Question(s): (i) Whether the sub-classification of Scheduled Castes (“SCs”) for providing reservation is allowed under the Constitution of India. (ii) Whether the states have the power under Articles 15 and 16 of the Constitution to sub-classify SCs.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
23.	05-08-2024	<p>Government Of Nct Of Delhi Vs Office Of Lieutenant Governor Of Delhi</p> <p>W.P.(C) No. 348/2023</p>	<p>Whether the lieutenant governor of delhi is bound by the aid and advice of the elected delhi government when nominating members of the municipal corporation of delhi</p>	<p>GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI V. OFFICE OF LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF DELHI 2024 INSC 578 (5 August 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Pamidighantam S. Narasimha, Justice Jamshe B. Pardiwala</p> <p>Question(s): Whether the Lieutenant Governor (“LG”) of the National Capital Territory of Delhi (“NCTD”) is bound by the aid and advice of the elected Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi (“Delhi Government”) when nominating members to the Delhi Municipal Corporation under the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957 (“DMC Act”).</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>

24.	09-08-2024	<p>Manish Sisodia Vs Directorate Of Enforcement</p> <p>CRL.A. No. 3295/2024</p>	<p>Whether Manish Sisodia is entitled to bail due to prolonged incarceration and delay in trial</p>	<p>MANISH SISODIA V. DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT 2024 INSC 595 (9 August 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Justice Bhushan R Gavai and Justice Kalpathy V Viswanathan.</p> <p>Question(s): Whether the Appellant, Mr. Manish Sisodia, is entitled to bail under Section 45 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 ("PMLA") due to his prolonged incarceration and the delay in the conduct of his trial.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
25.	14-08-2024	<p>Mineral Area Development Authority Etc. Vs M/S Steel Authority Of India.</p> <p>C.A. No. 4056-4064/1999</p>	<p>Whether the judgment in mineral area development authority v. M/s steel authority of India should be given prospective effect.</p>	<p>MINERAL AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ETC. V. M/S STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA . 2024 INSC 607 (14 August 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Hrishikesh Roy, Justice Abhay S. Oka, Justice B. V. Nagarathna, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra, Justice Ujjal Bhuyan, Justice Satish C. Sharma, Justice Augustine G. Masih</p> <p>Question(s): Should the decision in Mineral Area Development Authority v. M/S Steel Authority of India (2024 INSC 554) ("Mines and Minerals ") apply prospectively (apply only to future transactions)?</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
26.	20-08-2024	<p>In Re: Right To Privacy Of Adolescents Vs Smw(C) No. 3/2023</p>	<p>Use of judicial discretion when quashing rape conviction under IPC and POCSO</p>	<p>IN RE: RIGHT TO PRIVACY OF ADOLESCENTS V. 2024 INSC 614 (20 August 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Justice Abhay S. Oka and Justice Ujjal Bhuyan</p> <p>Question(s): Whether the High Court of Calcutta was justified in using its discretionary powers under Article 226 of the Constitution read with Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ("Cr.P.C") to set aside the appellant's conviction for rape under the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 ("POCSO Act") and the Indian Penal Code ("IPC") on the grounds that the individuals were engaged in a romantic relationship.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
27.	20-08-2024	<p>In Re: Alleged Rape And Murder Incident Of A Trainee Doctor In R.G. Kar Medical College And Hospital, Kolkata And Related Issues Vs</p> <p>SMW(CRL.) No. 2/2024</p>	<p>Urgent need to formulate institutional safety measures for medical professionals following the murder and alleged rape of a doctor in kolkata.</p>	<p>IN RE: ALLEGED RAPE AND MURDER INCIDENT OF A TRAINEE DOCTOR IN R.G. KAR MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL, KOLKATA AND RELATED ISSUES V. 2024 INSC 613 (20 August 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra</p> <p>Question(s): Whether the lack of institutional safety measures for doctors and medical professionals in hospitals necessitates the intervention of the Supreme Court. If yes, what measures should the Court pass?</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>

28.	13-09-2024	Arvind Kejriwal Vs Central Bureau Of Investigation CRL.A. No. 3816/2024	Whether the arrest of mr. Arvind kejriwal was legal and is he entitled to bail in the cbi case	ARVIND KEJRIWAL V. CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION 2024 INSC 687 (13 September 2024) Justices: Justice Surya Kant and Justice Ujjal Bhuyan Question(s): (i) Whether the arrest of Mr. Arvind Kejriwal by the Central Bureau of Investigation (“CBI”) while he was in judicial custody for a separate case by the Enforcement Directorate (“ED”) is legal? (ii) Whether Mr. Kejriwal is entitled to bail in the CBI case. View Judgment  Full Judgment
29.	23-09-2024	Just Rights For Children Alliance Vs S. Harish CRL.A.No.. 2161-2162/2024	Whether viewing child sexual exploitation and abuse material is punishable under the protection of children from sexual offences act, 2012	JUST RIGHTS FOR CHILDREN ALLIANCE V. S. HARISH 2024 INSC 716 (23 September 2024) Justices: Chief Justice Dr. Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud and Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala Question(s): (i) Whether viewing child sexual exploitation and abuse material (“CESAM”) is punishable under Section 15 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (“POCSO Act”) and Section 67B of the Information and Technology Act, 2000 (“IT Act”). (ii) Can Section 30 of the POCSO Act (statutory presumption of culpable mental state) be invoked in a quashing petition? View Judgment  Full Judgment
30.	26-09-2024	V. Senthil Balaji Vs The Deputy Director CRL.A. No. 4011/2024	Power of constitutional courts to grant bail for offences in statutes with stringent bail conditions	V. SENTHIL BALAJI V. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR 2024 INSC 739 (26 September 2024) Justices: Justice Abhay S. Oka, Justice Augustine G. Masih Question(s): (i) When should constitutional courts grant bail for offences in statutes with stringent bail conditions? (ii) Whether Mr. V. Senthil Balaji (“the Appellant”) is entitled to bail. View Judgment  Full Judgment
31.	03-10-2024	Sukanya Shantha Vs Union Of India W.P.(C) No. 1404/2023	Challenge to caste-based discrimination in prisons	SUKANYA SHANTHA V. UNION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 753 (3 October 2024) Justices: Chief Justice Dr. Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Mishra Question(s): Whether provisions in the Prison Manuals of various States which distinguish between inmates based on caste are unconstitutional. View Judgment  Full Judgment
32.	15-10-2024	Omkar Vs The Union Of India C.A. No. 10611/2024	Disqualification from an educational course cannot be solely based on quantified disability.	OMKAR V. THE UNION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 775 (15 October 2024) Justices: Justice Bhushan R Gavai, Justice Aravind Kumar and Justice Kalpathy V. Viswanathan Question(s): Should a candidate with more than 40% speech and language disability be disqualified from obtaining admission under the PwD category for the MBBS course solely based on the quantification of their disability? View Judgment  Full Judgment

33.	17-10-2024 In Re Section 6a Of The Citizenship Act 1955 Vs W.P.(C) No. 274/2009	Constitutional Challenge Against Section 6a Of Citizenship Act, 1955	<p>IN RE SECTION 6A OF THE CITIZENSHIP ACT 1955 V. 2024 INSC 789 (17 October 2024) Justices: Chief Justice Dr. Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Surya Kant, Justice M.M. Sundresh, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Mishra</p> <p>Question(s): Whether Section 6A of the Citizenship Act, 1955 ("Citizenship Act") violates Articles 11 (power to regulate citizenship), 14 (equality), 29 (protection of minority interests), 326 (right to vote), and 355 (duty of Union to protect states) of the Constitution.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
34.	23-10-2024 State Of U.P. . Vs M/S. Lalta Prasad Vaish And Sons C.A. No. 151/2007	State's power to regulate industrial alcohol	<p>STATE OF U.P. . V. M/S. LALTA PRASAD VAISH AND SONS 2024 INSC 812 (23 October 2024) Justices: Chief Justice Dr. Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Hrishikesh Roy, Justice Abhay S. Oka, Justice Bengaluru V. Nagarathna, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra, Justice Ujjal Bhuyan, Justice Satish C. Sharma, Justice Augustine G. Masih.</p> <p>Question(s): (i) Whether the term "intoxicating liquors" in Entry 8 of List II of the Seventh Schedule (the State List) of the Constitution of India includes 'industrial alcohol' within its scope. (ii) Does a state legislature possess the legislative competence to enact laws regulating 'industrial alcohol?'</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
35.	05-11-2024 Property Owners Association Vs State Of Maharashtra . C.A. No. 1012/2002	Scope of the words "material resources of the community" under article 39(b) of the constitution	<p>PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION V. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA . 2024 INSC 835 (5 November 2024) Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Hrishikesh Roy, Justice B.V. Nagarathna, Justice Sudhanshu Dhulia, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra, Justice Rajesh Bindal, Justice Satish C. Sharma, Justice Augustine G. Masih</p> <p>Question(s): (i) What is the correct interpretation of Article 31C of the Constitution after the judgment of <i>Minerva Mills v. Union of India</i> (1980 INSC 142) ("Minerva Mills")? (ii) Whether privately owned property constitutes 'material resources of the community' which can be acquired and distributed by the state in furtherance of Article 39(b) of the Constitution.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
36.	05-11-2024 Anjum Kadari Vs Union Of India SLP(C) No. 8541/2024	Challenge to the constitutional validity of the uttar pradesh board of madarsa education act, 2004	<p>ANJUM KADARI V. UNION OF INDIA 2024 INSC 831 (5 November 2024) Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Jamshed B Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra</p> <p>Question(s): Whether the Uttar Pradesh Board of Madarsa Education Act, 2004 ("Madarsa Act") is constitutional? Factual Background: The Madarsa Act established a 'Board of Madarsa Education' to regulate standards of education for students studying in Madaras in the state. There are over 13,000 Madaras in Uttar Pradesh with over 12,00,000 students. These institutions provide both religious and secular education up to various levels including elementary, secondary, and higher education.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>

37.	06-11-2024 M/S. Bajaj Alliance General Insurance Co.Ltd. Vs Rambha Devi C.A. No. 841/2018	Whether an lmv licence holder is legally competent to drive transport vehicles weighing less than 7,500 kgs.	<p>M/S. BAJAJ ALLIANCE GENERAL INSURANCE CO.LTD. V. RAMBHA DEVI 2024 INSC 840 (6 November 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice Dr Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Hrishikesh Roy, Justice Pamidighantam S. Narasimha, Justice Pankaj Mittal, Justice Manoj Misra.</p> <p>Question(s): Whether a person holding a license for a “Light Motor Vehicle” (“LMV”) can drive a “Transport Vehicle” weighing less than 7,500 kgs without a specific endorsement on their license.</p> <p>Factual Background: The Motor Vehicle Act, 1988 (MV Act) initially categorised vehicles as light, medium, and heavy motor vehicles with light vehicles weighing less than 7,500 kgs. Subsequently, in 1994, a separate class of ‘Transport Vehicles’ (vehicles to transport passengers and goods) replaced medium and heavy motor vehicles. A question arose as to whether a person holding an LMV license could drive a Transport Vehicle weighing less than 7,500 kgs. Insurance companies regularly disputed claims by persons holding an LMV license driving a ‘Transport Vehicle’ weighing less than 7,500 kgs.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
38.	07-11-2024 Tej Prakash Pathak Vs Rajasthan High Court C.A. No. 2634/2013	Challenge to the change in the 'rules of game' in recruitment process	<p>TEJ PRAKASH PATHAK V. RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT 2024 INSC 847 (7 November 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Hrishikesh Roy, Justice Pamidighantam S. Narasimha, Justice Pankaj Mithal, Justice Manoj Misra</p> <p>Question(s): Whether the “rules of the game” governing a recruitment process can be changed after the recruitment process has commenced.</p> <p>Factual Background: By its notification dated 17 September 2009, the Rajasthan High Court invited applications for the posts of Translators. The relevant Rules specified the qualifications as well as the method of recruitment to the posts which included an examination consisting of a written test followed by an interview. After the examination, the Chief Justice of the High Court added a minimum percentage of 75% in the examination for filling up the posts in question. Some unsuccessful candidates filed a writ petition before the High Court contending that the Chief Justice’s decision amounted to “changing the rules of the game after the game is played.”</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>
39.	08-11-2024 CENTRAL ORGANISATION FOR RAILWAY ELECTRIFICATION VS M/S ECI SPIC SMO MCML (JV) A JOINT VENTURE COMPANY C.A. NO. 9486-9487/2019	Validity of unilateral appointment of arbitrators in public-private contract	<p>CENTRAL ORGANISATION FOR RAILWAY ELECTRIFICATION V. M/S ECI SPIC SMO MCML (JV) A JOINT VENTURE COMPANY 2024 INSC 857 (8 November 2024)</p> <p>Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Hrishikesh Roy, Justice Pamidighantam S. Narasimha, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Manoj Misra</p> <p>Question(s): (i) Whether a person ineligible to be an arbitrator under the seventh schedule of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (“Arbitration Act”) can mandate the other party choose an arbitrator from the panel of arbitrators curated by them. (ii) Whether the principle of equal treatment of parties applies when appointing arbitrators. (iii) Whether the unilateral appointment of arbitrators by the government entity in a public-private contract violates Article 14 of the Constitution.</p> <p>View Judgment  Full Judgment</p>

40.	08-11-2024	ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY THROUGH ITS REGISTRAR FAIZAN MUSTAFA VS NARESH AGARWAL C.A. NO. 2286/2006	Criteria for the determination of a minority educational institution.	ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY THROUGH ITS REGISTRAR FAIZAN MUSTAFA V. NARESH AGARWAL 2024 INSC 856 (8 November 2024) Justices: Chief Justice (Dr.) Dhananjaya Y. Chandrachud, Justice Sanjiv Khanna, Justice Surya Kant, Justice Jamshed B. Pardiwala, Justice Dipankar Datta, Justice Manoj Misra, Justice Satish C. Sharma Question(s): (i) When does an educational institution qualify as a minority institution entitled to the protections under Article 30 of the Constitution? (ii) Whether the Supreme Court's judgment in S. Azeez Basha v. Union of India ("Azeez Basha") (1967 INSC 238), which held that Aligarh Muslim University (AMU) is not a minority institution is correct. View Judgment  Full Judgment
-----	------------	--	---	--

**SUPREME COURT
OF INDIA
LANDMARK
JUDGMENTS 2024**



Source : Supreme Court of India

**Justice T.P.S. Chawla Library
National Law University Delhi**